Generation in the Dimension of Growing Responsibility

Purpose. The article is devoted to the study of the phenomenon of generation, which is a necessary condition for the birth of the modern open society, taking into account the risks that accompany this process. Theoretical basis. The research covers a wide range of concepts (K. Mannheim, J. Ortega y Gasset, M. McLuhan, B. Anderson, P. Connerton, etc.), which reveal the temporal aspect of the analysed phenomenon, in particular, the insecurity of its constitution (the risk of a "lost generation") in the horizon of modernity as an unfinished project (J. Habermas), and the growing degree of responsibility associated with the formation of the creative social class. Originality. For the first time in the research literature, the author analyses the connection between the study of the phenomenon of generation and the establishment of a consensus in the modern world on the observance of the rules of win-win games as the value foundation of Western civilization. One of the key points of this process is the processing of the traumas of modernization. The omission of this task in the agenda of the transition society, despite the warnings evident in retrospect, releases the element of resentment, with consequences that can be qualified as an anthropological catastrophe. Conclusions. Ukrainian society, opening up to the world and working through the traumas of modernisation (Chornobyl, the Holodomor, the Fall of Lenin), which has become a kind of hermeneutical cycle of healing the "humanitarian aura of the nation" (L. Kostenko), in the process of which new layers such as the creative class appeared on the public scene, has demonstrated the European identity of the Ukrainian community. In the new horizon after 02/24, when, according to A. Yermolenko, it is no longer possible to talk about the postmodern situation, the Ukrainian agenda irreversibly acquires globality and should be rethought in the dimension of the "big time" and its global responsibility. This affects, in particular, the entire legacy of Russian-Soviet modernisation, to the extent that it has become an integral part of modern Ukrainian identity, including such controversial pages as the "Afghan" trauma.
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In memory of Merab Mamardashvili

Introduction

The problem of generation has long been a major issue in Western social science. Important stories of the "generation gap" or "lost generation" not only form the basis of reflection on modern society, but also have an applied aspect in terms of determining the target audience and optimal algorithms for the provision of services in demand by business or the state. In an era of unprecedented challenges, the notion of a new generation bridges the gap between expert and public opinion to develop a value consensus on the procedure of non-zero-sum (win-win) games for the optimal use of public resources. The most recent example of this was the "covid generation", when trust networks revealed an extraordinary potential for social mobilisation. Meanwhile, in Ukraine, this topic remains rather the subject of sporadic appeals by public intellectuals, mainly during outbursts of civic activity (the student "revolution on granite" or the Revolution of Dignity), which, of course, cannot replace the balanced approach of academic science.

Karl Mannheim is considered the "father" of the generational approach of the modern era. In his work "The Problem of Generations" (Mannheim, 1952), he conceptualised the idea of a certain "community of trial" that emerges in the horizon of unprecedented experience (for example, war and revolution), when representatives of strata that had no precedent of experience of cooperative efforts in the previous way of structuring society, acquire a new (including traumatic) set
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of behavioural norms, views, and feelings in the course of irreversible changes in the national agenda determined by the challenges of modernisation.

It is symptomatic that the work of the German researcher was duly recognised by the postwar expert community when it was translated into English, which shows the extent of the change in habitual perceptions that the introduction of a new research optic entailed. The pre-war work of J. Ortega y Gasset (n.d.) "The Theme of Our Time", where he assesses the problem of generation as a key one for the crisis period, is also being rediscovered.

The generation of 1968, which is considered the first global one, created a precedent for Western social science of the imagined community (B. Anderson), thanks to which it became possible to think of the modern era as complete, marking this moment as a milestone of the "postmodern situation", according to J. Lyotard (in the subsequent debate, J. Habermas will put forward the concept of modernity as an "unfinished project"). Having gained wide recognition in expert circles and given a theoretical impetus to the successful construction of macro-models of society, the idea of generational change served to create a non-antagonistic model of social interaction, cooperation of efforts of different cohorts in the dimension of non-zero-sum games, which was facilitated, among other things, by reaching a consensus on the moral unacceptability of militarism and racism (according to A. Touraine, powerful social movements change the socio-cultural codes of society).

In this case, the artefactual component of the process of creating a new imagined community, one of the first reflected by M. McLuhan ("media is message"), becomes intransitive. Further searches of Western social science, in particular, the approaches to changing paradigms and epistememes proposed by T. Kuhn and M. Foucault, and in terms of more protracted processes of evolutionary changes in society, the concept of imagined communities by B. Anderson and the habit-memory by P. Connerton, which are built at the intersection of socio-cultural and political anthropology, were developed in this direction. The role of religion in the post-secular society is also reassessed in this horizon (C. Taylor), and in later years, the development of Ukrainian society in the spirit of tolerance of numerous denominations (H. Casanova) and the special role of Kyiv in the horizon of the concepts of diversity capital and "muse of urban frenzy" (B. Ruble) are highly appreciated by Western experts, which is echoed by Y. Hrytsak’s thesis on the new role of the national creative class.

The most representative attempt to "universalise" the approach was Strauss and Howe’s (1991) "Generations", in which the entire American history of the modern era is presented in a regular alternation of generations, each of which has its own name ("great", "silent", etc.). Having influenced mass culture, the work was criticised by the expert community because of the authors’ marked tendency to stereotypes, which reduces its heuristic value. In the context of this study, I would like to note that the authors’ "levelling" of the challenges of all generations, without taking into account the scale of responsibility and the risk of a "lost generation", contributes to the reader’s illusion that progress is guaranteed, without preparing new cohorts for the challenges of trauma and resentment.

A precedent in this regard is the question raised by Merab Mamardashvili about the possibility of using the term "generation" in a totalitarian (post-traumatic) society where there is no free communication between young people, which ultimately limits their socialisation to the horizon of an atomised society of "eternal adolescents". He also draws attention to the consequences of this lack of social "agora", which he qualifies as an anthropological catastrophe (including the well-known phenomena of the Stockholm syndrome and the "banality of evil", according to
Hannah Arendt). This is echoed by the interest of a new stratum of post-Soviet Ukrainian researchers in the "zombie" argument proposed by D. Chalmers.

In line with the hermeneutical turn that has been marked in national philosophy since 2014, this shift allows us to bridge the gap between the research horizons of the problem of generation, as it was studied in Western society under the "postmodern situation", where the traumas of modernization appear, as a rule, already settled in the course (international reconciliation and the Ukrainian transitional (post-traumatic) society, in which the treatment of similar traumas (Chernobyl, the Holodomor, the Fall of Lenin) appeared each time at the intersection of mutually exclusive positions, ultimately resolving in a peculiar hermeneutic cycle of healing the "humanitarian aura of the nation" (L. Kostenko), through the restoration of the inviolability of the basic foundations of civilization, which go back to the existence of the "axial time" (K. Jaspers).

The chosen slice of the problem has heuristic potential in view of the tectonic shifts of the current era. In the post-2024 world, when the Ukrainian community has been tragically divided into those who respond to new challenges in a relatively safe globalised society and those who hold the frontline in the confrontation between civilisation and barbarism, it is not an easy task to find a common horizon of freedom and responsibility for citizens, millions of whom have found themselves in the role of "wandering philosopher" not by choice.

However, it is here that the way to the universal horizon opens up. Given the thematic immensity of contemporary publications on the issue, framing this space at the pole of everyday life by volunteering to study the value basis of refugee-host communication (Karakulak & Faul, 2024), and in the horizon of the future, through the interactive search for consensus on the teenage "selfie in Auschwitz", which unwittingly problematises the inviolability of the memory of the Shoah (Feldman & Musih, 2023), the researcher reaches the unreducible horizon of the universality of indifference: in today’s "fluctuating" world, everyone has to learn every day, as symbolised by the ancient symbol of the second birth.

**Purpose**

In the context of the hermeneutical turn that has marked the national philosophy after 2014, the article examines the phenomenon of generation and substantiates the need for its conceptualisation, in particular through the explication of the temporal dimension, which is revealed in the global responsibility of man in the modern era, in particular in connection with the treatment of the traumas of modernisation.

**Statement of basic materials**

In line with the hermeneutic turn that has been marked in the Ukrainian philosophical literature since 2014 (special issues of the "Philosophical Thought" devoted to the topics of other experience, oral history of philosophy, and reception (Kebuladze, 2019; Khoma & Zborovska, 2019; Yosypenko, 2020), the moment of responsibility, especially of the intellectual class in the modern era, is problematized. A precedent for such a procedure is proposed in the famous work by J. Benda (1927) "The Treason of the Intellectuals". The author shows, in particular, that a layer called upon to perform a critical function in the unfolding of social pathos can de facto be influenced by new utopias.

The revision of the intellectual foundations of their own discursive practices affected, among other things, the influential deliberative theory, which in the post-Soviet era determined the mainstream in domestic studies of practical communication philosophy. For example, in N. Fialko’s
(2022) article "The Significance of Deliberation for the Legitimation of Social Institutions", the author, based on previous research by J. Cohen, distinguishes between three types of deliberation: the individual, the jury, and the oligarchs, of which only the first one contributes to the improvement of democratic procedures. The unproblematised notion of the non-hierarchical nature of language games, in the spirit of postmodern "anything goes", is thus questioned, and its origins can be traced back to Wittgenstein’s original version of this concept.

While these first steps, which reveal the limits of the heuristics of this theoretical direction as purely normative, and thus overlook the temporal factor of the trauma of modernisation and its processing, are certainly necessary, they do not appear radical enough in the horizon of the 02/24 situation. A more detailed analysis reveals the notion of the inviolability of the social status quo that underlies this research programme. While retaining its relevance for stable democracies, in a transitional (post-traumatic) society, including Ukraine, where it was transferred in the face of the anticipation of the "end of history" (F. Fukuyama), this model does not allow reflecting on the challenges associated with processing the traumas of modernisation, in particular the risks of a part of the (counter)elites’ bet on resentment.

A discussion between leading Ukrainian philosophers (A. Yermolenko, Y. Bystrytskyi) and one of the founders of communicative practical philosophy, J. Habermas (Yermolenko, 2022), which unfolded against the backdrop of the full-scale Russian invasion, testifying to the shift of the procedures of "last justification" (Apel) of values from the sphere of university everyday life to the field of "big time", and reminding that freedom, according to Kant, belongs to the regulative ideas of practical reason, drew attention to the few but significant voices of thinkers whose warnings are now perceived as prophetic.

Two "zombies"

In one of his recent speeches, Merab Mamardashvili warned that "rumours are exaggerated" regarding the death of the Soviet system:

What has not lived cannot die. If once a sufficiently large number of people agreed to consider as life something that, from the point of view of the European or Greek and evangelical tradition, is not life, but if, nevertheless, a sufficient number of millions of people agreed to consider it as life, then they can live this life. Within such a life there is no reason to change it. (transl. by E. S.) (Tolts, 2010)

This thesis is based on the work done by the thinker to analyse a certain type of consciousness of the "adolescent country", which he defines by the "three K principle" (Cartesius, Kant, Kafka). The first "K" (Descartes):

In the world, there is a certain simplest and most directly obvious being,

'I am'. It, questioning everything else… reveals a certain dependence of
everything that happens in the world... on man's own actions. In this sense, man is a creature capable of saying: 'I think, I exist, I can'; and there is the possibility and condition of a world that he can understand, in which he can act humanly, be responsible for something, know something. (transl. by E. S.) (Mamardashvili, 1990, pp. 109-110)

The second "K" (Kant):

In the structure of the world, there are special intelligible objects (dimensions) that appear at the same time directly, in experience, as stated, though still indecomposable, images of integrities, as if they were plans or projects of development. The strength of this principle is that it indicates the conditions under which a finite being in space and time (e.g., a human being) can meaningfully perform acts of cognition, moral action, evaluation, enjoy searching, etc. (transl. by E. S.) (Mamardashvili, 1990, p. 110)

And finally, the third "K" (Kafka):

With the same external signs and subject nominations and the observability of their natural referents (subject correspondences), everything that is set by the above two principles is not fulfilled. This is a degenerate or regressive variant of the general K-principle – "zombie" situations, quite human-like, but in reality otherworldly for a person, only imitating what is actually dead. (transl. by E. S.) (Mamardashvili, 1990, p. 111)

In the context of the description of late Soviet everyday life (non-)being and everyday life, "zombie" situations go back to the thinker's previous analysis of transformed forms, according to Marx (the heuristic nature of this heritage was noted by M. Popovych, in particular). The underdevelopment of the essential human forces in the conditions of the (semi-)camp is refracted in a state of "disability", the inability to represent the current state of civilisation, the everyday practice of its complexity as its own possibility (in other words, alienation, which has
consequences, and those of a generational scale, to describe which M. Seligman proposed the term "learned helplessness").

Unlike in the West, where the later intellectual fashion for thought experimentation in terms of using the "zombie" argument appears after the publication of D. Chalmers (1996) and the discussions related to it revolve around the (un)validity of physicalism, retaining the character of games of theoretical mind, allowing participants to choose any possible position from the spectrum (for example, in 2020, the PhilPapers survey yielded the following results: 16% considered the zombie figure "unthinkable", 37% considered it conceivable but impossible, 24% considered it metaphysically impossible, and 23% considered it other (Weinberg, 2021)). In the first case, we are dealing with the sphere of practical reason, where the element of resentment unfolds in the face of unresolved traumas, which can also become institutionalised (including through the educational and media spheres), according to the formula that holy place is never empty.

At the same time, the temporal dimension of the zombie situation allows us to show that this challenge (the risk of it) is rooted in the very foundations of European civilisation as not guaranteed. Such an example is, in particular, Sophocles’ "Antigone", in which an elementary cycle of violation/restoration of unwritten laws unfolds, over which the local "pro tem" ruler Creon tried to put his own order, causing catastrophic consequences (his figure, with its emphasis on trauma, can thus be seen as one of the first initiators of the "zombie" situation).

J. Steiner’s (1986) work shows the fundamental importance of Sophocles’ text for European identity in terms of completing the cycle of trauma treatment. A symptomatic gap in this regard is the evidence of a representative publication of the late Soviet period, "Myths of the Peoples of the World" (Tokarev, 1980), whose article "Antigone" mentioned more than thirty references to the story in the 20th century (Anouilh, Brecht, Cocteau, etc.), but not a single Russian (Soviet) one.

If, in light of the above, we include in the "Antigone horizon" the situation of the reburial of the fallen on the fronts of the Second World War, which was not completed in Soviet times and is still ongoing (the number whereof, according to Russian volunteers, was about 2 million in the mid-2010s, i.e. before the outbreak of aggression), shouldn’t we, in the context of the above, consider the withdrawal of the USSR (the only "victorious state") from the historical arena in terms of an experiment set by history itself to try to ignore the unwritten law that distinguishes humans from zombies?

In this context, Mamardashvili’s question, discussed above (in essence, "are you a zombie?") appears as an elementary act of philosophical imagination, comparable to the introduction of the idea of the radical evil of human nature (Kant), evil genius (Descartes) or Socratic, whether he was a creation like Typhon (this monster, I recall, was distinguished by the possession of all possible voices).

Accordingly, the discovery of a special moment in the context of the study, which I will designate as minus-temporality, or a step into the looking glass ("brothers and sisters" of 1941, crossed by the leader into "cogs" of 1945), allows us to consider this point of unguarded labelling of the "victorious people" in a new, dehumanised way as irreversible, after which, according to Mamardashvili’s definition, it is always too late for Antigone.

At the same time, the discovery of this point allows us to see in the present retrospective the archetypal plot of the "unheard warning" in the horizon of the entire modernity, from "you only want freedom for yourself" (Pushkin) and "no man fights against freedom; he fights at most against the freedom of others" (Marx) to Nietzsche’s prediction of the coming of nihilism. The question thus shifts towards the audience, which shows an inability to hear the warning.
In this light, the masterpieces of fiction that formed the canon of the Russian intelligentsia’s lectures and later the core of the Soviet school curriculum for decades appear in a new light in the current rereading. Each time appearing on the eve of new challenges, depicting another version of the "Russian boy" type (Chatsky, Bazarov, Trofimov), a candidate for leadership, coming from the same privileged class ("How many souls does your father have?" Arkady suddenly asked. "The estate is not his, but his mother’s; souls, I remember, fifteen." – "And twenty-two in all", Timofeich remarked with displeasure. "Fathers and Sons", Chapter 20), the classics have confirmed the well-known fact that the apple does not fall far from the tree.

The matter is complicated by the fact that the revolutionary ferment of the previous decades gave rise to a certain subculture, the component of which was self-sacrifice (including terrorist one). The matter could probably have been helped by a reminder of the Gospel’s "I desire mercy, not sacrifice" (recall Pope John Paul II’s famous "Do not be afraid" during his visit to Poland), but the Church at that historical moment obviously did not raise such an authoritative figure.

In view of the above, when discussing the phenomenon of the 1968 generation, the artefactual dimension of the problem comes into focus: a certain group constructs a "game" in which the other side is imagined to be forced by fear to perform the desired actions. In this interpretation, the "Russian boy" is not an isolated phenomenon (suffice it to recall the "Serbian boy" whose shot started the First World War). When the formula "I desire mercy, not sacrifice" creates a watershed between an adult and an adolescent, perhaps the "German boy" who drew the country into a war for the racial purity of the world can also be seen as a hostage of one of the utopias.

Let us pay attention to two interrelated points. Firstly, the teenager as an actor obviously fails to go beyond the horizon of the "neophyte scenario": when the conversion of another must necessarily take place here and now (it would seem that nothing prevents you from imagining that someone who is now actively protesting against your sermon will eventually turn into your most ardent supporter: cf. the story of the Apostle Paul). Secondly, this state of mind (I will use the figure of a "fanatic" to mark it) is marked by intolerance to certain words: for example, Tybalt in "Romeo and Juliet" says that he hates the word "peace" (Act 1, Scene 1). Probably, this also includes the Bolshevik leader’s extremely indifferent attitude to "god".

In this sense, a small work by academician Pavlov (2009) "On the Mind in General and the Russian Mind in Particular" is of great importance, in which he, anticipating the later discoveries of neuroscience and linguistic anthropology, states that young cultures, including Russian, are characterised by a reaction not to things but to words.

Of course, only growing up can help. The traumatic experience itself, in this light, with the necessary reflection, if we recall Freud’s "labour of sorrow", opens the way to a deeper understanding of humanity; obviously, of oneself.

Privatisation of modernity

The new cohort of "post-Soviet boys", as can be stated post factum, at critical moments of transition, to varying degrees, followed the prescriptions of the "Georgian Socrates" regarding "zombie" situations: as shown at the beginning, this now prompts philosophers as part of the national intellectual class to draw a watershed between the horizon of ideas marked by the post-modern "anything goes" and the world after 02/24 (according to A. Yermolenko, it is no longer possible to speak of a postmodern situation).

At the same time, as the literature of the last two years shows, the Western philosophical community is in no hurry to part with the usual paradigm. Thus, in a special issue of the Journal
of the Royal Anthropological Institute, devoted to the topic of 'Postness' as a special state of humanity, it is stated:

Postmodern, postcolonial, postsocialist, postindustrial – the 'post' frequently occurs as a qualifier in historical and theoretical currents of the present day. The proliferation of the prefix might indicate that we live in an era of postness where obsolete temporal categories are not replaced by substantial new ones, but that we somehow linger in, remain attached to, and are reluctant to let go of preceding categorisations… More than simply recalling a world bygone or imagining a world yet to come, the category of the post describes a condition between no more and not yet which cannot be articulated in positive terms. (Pank & Schiedlowski, 2023)

(I would like to point out that, given the different speeds of change in the world, the sources of unreduced innovativeness of humanity’s self-knowledge are becoming more and more apparent. The New Handbook of Linguistic Anthropology states that media and migration have been key drivers of globalisation and our imagination for more than a quarter of a century. "Linguistic anthropologists, among others, have been rethinking what it means to live in a globalized, mobilized, and often digitized world. We recognize that many efforts to "decolonize" any academic field continue to replicate colonial hierarchies and structures" (Duranti, George, & Riner, 2023, pp. 4-5). Given this warning, researchers emphasise the need to historicise the discipline and take into account paradigm shifts. In the context of this study, I would like to draw attention to the heuristic potential of the code switching model. As S. Hout (2021) notes, combining it with the concepts of memory and trauma allows us to clarify the interaction "[between] the past and the present, the present and the future, childhood and adulthood, and trauma and recovery".

Thus, while the 02/24 situation irrevocably marked the horizon of an unprecedented experience of growing up for a new cohort of Ukrainians, the rest of the world is still in the process of self-determination by the standards of the previous "hybrid" state. In this light, the aforementioned hermeneutical turn of 2014 paradoxically reveals the (un)demand for the concept of generation by the national intellectual class.

On the example of the 1968 generation, we saw the implicit components of apophaticity and artefactuality (rejection of hardened values and invention of new cultural practices) that record the progress of society along the main path of civilisation as a practice of complexity. By identifying similar changes in practices in the experience of the Ukrainian community in transition, which makes it anthropologically comparable (I recall the formula of the national spontaneous hermeneutic of transition about Moses, who led the people in the desert for forty years until
those born in slavery died out), does it not turn out that without a "coined" term, the Ukrainian
intellectual class and Ukrainians in general as an imagined community cannot give an account of
their own experience of growing up?

K. Schlögel (2018) draws attention to the "end of an era" as a privileged moment of cogni-
tion. In this regard, rethinking the experience of the transition period, taking into account the
processing of the traumas of modernisation, gives a chance to reinterpret the path travelled in the
context of the post-Soviet era, with its main outcome of "farewell to the camp" (I will refer to it
as the "Maidan generation"), but in the horizon of the great time of modernity, with the urgent,
understandable traumas of modernisation and their processing ("Antigone generation").

Taking into account the work of linguistic anthropology, with its attention, within the frame-
work of transit culture, to the construction of traumas (in particular, Chernobyl and the Holodo-
mor) that reproduce the human dimension of the "price of modernity", we get the key to the di-
vergence of trajectories of the Ukrainian and Russian communities, over two generations, to the
polar "never again" (games with non-zero sum, "win-win") and "our grandfathers fought/we can
do it again" (games with zero sum). Code switching, which allowed the Ukrainian "post-Soviet
boys" to grow up, in the Russian scenario of lost temporality, returned the "teenage country" to a
zombie situation, which can now be considered predictable.

(In passing, I would like to point out the possibility of clarifying the symptomatic nature of
the "ourgrandfathersfought" meme within the generational approach. Placed in the chronological
dimension, it obviously refers to the "trauma of Afghanistan" that was not healed during the So-
viet era. At the same time, the field of study raises a whole range of problems related to its con-
troversy in the context of the formation of the newest Ukrainian identity: it is not covered by the
decommissionisation programme).

Looking back at the moment when the USSR, a country of "eternal adolescence", entered the
new era, which implied the urgency of the effort to grow up (a challenge reflected by the "Geor-
gian Socrates"), we can now see the degree of weight of the (non-)acceptance by society of re-
sponsibility for the work of overcoming trauma. In the end, the "privatisation" of the legacy of
modernity as its own history, including its painful pages, only leads to maturity, and its sign is
the imperative "never again". Having once again "abandoned the heritage" (according to the
formula of the teenage leader), we are captured by an infantile illusion that does not change with
the axial time.

The first philosophical act of growing up is the following statement…

this phrase was said by Ludwig Wittgenstein… 'The world has no inten-
tions for us'. This is an adult point of view. And you know that adults can
behave like children. Remember how one Persian king, who wanted to
conquer Greece, sent a flotilla to Greece, and at that time the sea raged
and drowned his entire flotilla. He ordered the sea to be cut out. A ridicu-
lous act. And think about yourself, how many times do we cut out the sea
or cut out the world in this way, because it seems to us that it had inten-
tions towards us, like the sea towards Xerxes, which drowned his fleet.

(FNK, 2020)

Originality

The article is the first to attempt to conceptualise the phenomenon of generation, in particular
through the explication of its temporal dimension, which is revealed in the horizon of global hu-
man responsibility in the modern era as an "unfinished project", the non-reductive component of
which is the treatment of the traumas of modernisation.

Conclusions

The introduction of the concept of generation in the context of the hermeneutical turn unfold-
ing in the national philosophical literature after 2014 allows us to overcome the reduction of the
research imagination of the expert community, revealing, in particular, the prospects of using the
heuristic potential of linguistic anthropology (including the model of "code-switching"), which
not only makes it possible to integrate the experience of processing the traumas of modernisa-
tion, but also opens up a new horizon for the reflection of intensive migration processes.
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Генерація у вимірі зростаючої відповідальності

Meta. Стаття присвячена дослідженню феномена генерації, що постає необхідною умовою народження модерного відкритого суспільства, з урахуванням ризиків, які супроводжують цей процес. Теоретичний базис. Дослідження охоплює широке коло концепцій (К. Мангайм, Х. Ортега-і-Гассет, М. Маклюен, Б. Андрerson, П. Коннертон та ін.), у яких виявляється темпоральний аспект аналізованого феномена, зокрема негарантованості його конституовання (ризик "втраченої генерації") за доби модерну як незавершеного проєкту (Ю. Габермас) та зростаючої міри відповідальності, пов’язаної з формуванням креативного суспільного класу. Наукова новизна. Уперше в науковій літературі проаналізовано зв’язок вивчення феномена генерації з утвердженням у сучасному світі консенсусу щодо дотримання правил ігор з ненульовою сумою (‘win-win’) як ціннісного підмурівка західної цивілізації. Одним із ключових моментів цього процесу стає опрацювання травм модернізації. Упущення цього завдання в порядку денному перехідного суспільства, попри попередження, очевидні в ретроспективі, які можна прослідкувати до початків модерної доби, вивільняє стихію ресентименту, із наслідками, що їх можна кваліфікувати як антропологічну катастрофу.

Висновки. Українське суспільство, відкриваючись світу й опрацьовуючи травми модернізації (Чорнобиль, Голодомор, "денінодад"), що набуло характеру своєрідного гемеревтичного циклу зцілення "гуманітарної аури нації" (Л. Костенко), у процесі якого на суспільній арені з’являлися такі нові верстки, як креативний клас, засвідчило європейську ідентичність української громади. У новому горизонті після 24 лютого, коли, на думку А. Єрмоленка, уже не випадає говорити про ситуацію постмодерну, український порядок денній незворотно набуває глобальності й має бути переосмислений у вимірі "великого часу" та його глобальної відповідальності. Це зачинає зокрема всю спадщину російсько-радянської модернізації, наскільки вона стала невід'ємною складовою модерної української ідентичності, включно з такими контраверсійними її сторонками, як "афганська" травма. Ключові слова: свобода; відповідальність; генерація; лінгвістична антропологія; темпоральність; травма
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